Message

From: Hurld, Kathy [Hurld.Kathy@epa.gov]

Sent: 11/2/2018 7:39:54 PM

To: Calli, Rosemary [Calli.Rosemary@epa.gov]; Kupchan, Simma [Kupchan.Simma@epa.gov]; Hicks, Matt

[Hicks.Matthew@epa.gov]

Subject: RE: Follow-ups on two Florida documents

I like "or" better than "and" and thought about it too so if Simma is okay with "or"...

I'm good, - kathy

From: Calli, Rosemary

Sent: Friday, November 02, 2018 3:27 PM

To: Kupchan, Simma < Kupchan. Simma@epa.gov>; Hicks, Matt < Hicks. Matthew@epa.gov>; Hurld, Kathy

<Hurld.Kathy@epa.gov>

Subject: RE: Follow-ups on two Florida documents

Okay, I think this cleaned-up copy represents where we stand on all of these comments. The one thing that struck me is

that it should probably say

Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)

Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)

I'm giving Tom a heads-up that we may be ready to elevate to the RA soon, but he'll need to know if David's last message constitutes a green light from OGC. I expect we'll take a similar path where Trey ultimately reaches out to David Ross to check on OW's perspective unless you are running this up your chain, Kathy.

From: Kupchan, Simma

Sent: Friday, November 2, 2018 3:07 PM

To: Calli, Rosemary < Calli, Rosemary@epa.gov>; Hicks, Matt < Hicks.Matthew@epa.gov>; Hurld, Kathy

<Hurld.Kathy@epa.gov>

Subject: Fwd: Follow-ups on two Florida documents

This should work! We lost the and/or, but I think it's ok. Are we good?

Simma

Begin forwarded message:

From: "Fotouhi, David" < Fotouhi. David@epa.gov > Date: November 2, 2018 at 1:18:07 PM EDT

To: "Kupchan, Simma" < Kupchan, Simma@epa.gov>

Cc: "Neugeboren, Steven" < Neugeboren. Steven@epa.gov>, "Wehling, Carrie"

<Wehling.Carrie@epa.gov>

Subject: RE: Follow-ups on two Florida documents

Got it. What about:

Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)

Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)

David Fotouhi

Principal Deputy General Counsel
Office of General Counsel
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
Tel: +1 202.564.1976
fotouhi.david@epa.gov

From: Kupchan, Simma

Sent: Friday, November 2, 2018 10:57 AM **To:** Fotouhi, David < Fotouhi. David@epa.gov>

Cc: Neugeboren, Steven < Neugeboren. Steven@epa.gov>; Wehling, Carrie < Wehling. Carrie@epa.gov>

Subject: RE: Follow-ups on two Florida documents

David,

Ex. 5 AC/DP

Ex. 5 AC/DP Please let me know your thoughts.

Thanks again for your attention,

Simma Kupchan
EPA Office of General Counsel
Water Law Office
WJC North Building # 7426Q
202-564-3105

From: Fotouhi, David

Sent: Thursday, November 1, 2018 5:16 PM **To:** Kupchan, Simma < <u>Kupchan, Simma@epa, gov</u>>

Cc: Neugeboren, Steven < Neugeboren.Steven@epa.gov >; Wehling, Carrie < Wehling.Carrie@epa.gov >

Subject: RE: Follow-ups on two Florida documents

Ex. 5 AC/DP

David Fotouhi

Principal Deputy General Counsel

Office of General Counsel
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

Tel: +1 202.564.1976 fotouhi.david@epa.gov

From: Kupchan, Simma

Cc: Neugeboren, Steven < Neugeboren. Steven@epa.gov>; Wehling, Carrie < Wehling. Carrie@epa.gov>

Subject: Follow-ups on two Florida documents

David,

Thank you very much for your input on the proposed changes to FL's rules. R4 will incorporate all of your proposed edits, but just wanted to propose one alternative edit to the "material permit modification" paragraph, in response to a question you raised. Please see below.

Stakeholder-proposed language:

Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)

David asked:

Ex. 5 AC/DP

Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)

Could you please let us know if you are comfortable with R4's revised proposed approach, or if you have concerns about it? Thank you very much for your continued attention to this matter.

Simma Kupchan EPA Office of General Counsel Water Law Office WJC North Building # 7426Q 202-564-3105

From: Fotouhi, David

Sent: Tuesday, October 30, 2018 7:30 PM

To: Palmer, Leif <<u>Palmer.Leif@epa.gov</u>>; Leopold, Matt (OGC) <<u>Leopold.Matt@epa.gov</u>>
Cc: Glenn, Trey <<u>Glenn.Trey@epa.gov</u>>; Walker, Mary <<u>walker.mary@epa.gov</u>>; Ashbee, Blake <<u>ashbee.blake@epa.gov</u>>; Jenkins, Brandi <<u>Jenkins.Brandi@epa.gov</u>>; Gettle, Jeaneanne <<u>Gettle.Jeaneanne@epa.gov</u>>; Hicks, Matt <<u>Hicks.Matthew@epa.gov</u>>; Neugeboren, Steven <<u>Neugeboren.Steven@epa.gov</u>>; Kupchan, Simma <<u>Kupchan.Simma@epa.gov</u>>; Mcgill, Thomas <<u>Mcgill.Thomas@epa.gov</u>>; Zapata, Cesar <<u>Zapata.Cesar@epa.gov</u>>; Wade, Alexis

<<u>Wade.Alexis@epa.gov</u>>; Ghosh, Mita <<u>Ghosh.Mita@epa.gov</u>> **Subject:** RE: proposed responses to FDEP's assumption questions

My comments and suggestions are tracked in the attached document. Please let me know if you would like to discuss.

Best,

David

David Fotouhi

Principal Deputy General Counsel
Office of General Counsel
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
Tel: +1 202.564.1976
fotouhi.david@epa.gov

From: Palmer, Leif

Sent: Thursday, October 25, 2018 7:02 PM

To: Fotouhi, David <Fotouhi.David@epa.gov>; Leopold, Matt (OGC) <Leopold.Matt@epa.gov> Cc: Glenn, Trey <Glenn.Trey@epa.gov>; Walker, Mary <walker.mary@epa.gov>; Ashbee, Blake <ashbee.blake@epa.gov>; Jenkins, Brandi <Jenkins.Brandi@epa.gov>; Gettle, Jeaneanne <Gettle_Jeaneanne@epa.gov>; Hicks, Matt <Hicks.Matthew@epa.gov>; Neugeboren, Steven <Neugeboren.Steven@epa.gov>; Kupchan, Simma <Kupchan.Simma@epa.gov>; Mcgill, Thomas <Mcgill.Thomas@epa.gov>; Zapata, Cesar <Zapata.Cesar@epa.gov>; Wade, Alexis <Wade.Alexis@epa.gov>; Ghosh, Mita <Ghosh.Mita@epa.gov>

Subject: FW: proposed responses to FDEP's assumption questions

Importance: High

Matt & David – as mentioned on today's OGC call we've worked out responses to FDEP's latest questions. Trey asked me to forward this to you for your thoughts.

Matt Hicks' email below provides context.

Leif Palmer
Regional Counsel
US EPA Region 4
61 Forsyth Street SW
Atlanta, Georgia 30303
(404) 562-9542

Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP) (cell)

This email is from an attorney and may contain privileged information and attorney-client communications and should not be released under FOIA or discovery to individuals or entities outside of EPA or the U.S. Department of Justice without the knowledge of the sender.

From: Hicks, Matt

Sent: Tuesday, October 23, 2018 5:51 PM **To:** Palmer, Leif < <u>Palmer.Leif@epa.gov</u>> **Cc:** Ghosh, Mita < Ghosh.Mita@epa.gov>

Subject: FW: proposed responses to FDEP's assumption questions

Importance: High

Leif,

Here's EPA's proposed response to the two recent questions we received from DEP on Friday. These proposed responses were generated by the Region 4 team (Tom McGill level) after consulting with Simma (both issues) and Alexis (mitigation issue only) at OGC. DEP is waiting to hear from us before moving forward with their rulemaking so time is of the essence. More internal discussion is needed on the issue of incorporating retained waters list into EPA's Federal Register notice approving the state's program but that issue is not as time critical as the two below.

Ex. 5 AC/DP

Ex. 5 AC/DP

Please call me if you'd like to discuss further.

Thanks,

Matt

From: Mcgill, Thomas

Sent: Tuesday, October 23, 2018 5:10 PM **To:** Zapata, Cesar < Zapata. Cesar@epa.gov>

Cc: Calli, Rosemary < Calli, Rosemary@epa.gov>; Purify, Johnnie < Purify, Johnnie@epa.gov>; Hicks, Matt

<a href="mailto:smaller: 2pt s

Subject: proposed responses to FDEP's assumption questions

Importance: High

Cesar,

As a follow-up to our conversation yesterday, FDEP would appreciate EPA's quick feedback on questions they asked during calls held with some of EPA's assumption team members on Oct 19 and 22nd. You'll recall that I forwarded you an email from Matt Hicks on Oct 19 regarding their basic questions, and we received additional clarification with FDEP staff in a call held on Oct 22. We understand that FDEP is awaiting EPA's feedback prior to proceeding with their Notice of Proposed Rulemaking and that FDEP would prefer EPA's feedback through a conversation instead of in writing.

Attached is a document drafted by the EPA Assumption Team that includes: (1) FDEP's questions (highlighted in blue text); (2) proposed EPA responses (highlighted in yellow text); and (3) other information to provide background/context for FDEP's questions and/or the proposed responses. We would appreciate feedback from the RA and the senior leaders at OGC/OW as quickly as they can provide it before responding to FDEP.

If you have any questions or need any additional information please let us know.

Thanks.

Tom